

during the day with Bob Boyer, House International Relations Committee staff, concerning the science and technology amendment of the State Authorization bill. Later, with the agreement of Jack Brady, Chief of Staff of the Committee, the staff inserted report language reflecting the intent of the Committee to exempt intelligence activities from the title of the bill in which the section appears. Boyer and Ivo Spalatin, also of the Committee staff, assured me that State Department had indicated to the Committee their feeling that the amendment should not and does not apply to intelligence activities.

I also met with Brady and informed him of our concern on the Case Act and the role of the ambassador amendments tied to the legislation in the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. I left with Brady a short write-up on each amendment and informed him that the DDCI would want to discuss this matter personally with Chairman Clement Zablocki (D., Wis.) if there was any aspect of our position which troubled him. On the role of the ambassador amendment, Brady said he endorses the ambassador as being country team leader and I said we did too but the issue here was the much narrower one but the important one of protecting intelligence sources and methods. I expressed our concern that there not be similar amendments during House consideration of the measure so that there would be the latitude of working the problem during conference. Brady expects the measure to be brought up in the House at the end of May.